

**Corporate Governance Rating**


Rating Revision



**21 December 2023**

## CONTENTS

Rating Revision	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	3
Rating Methodology	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	6
Rating Definitions	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	7
Disclaimer	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	8



## Corporate Governance Rating

Rating Revision

### ENERJİSA ENERJİ A.Ş. (ENJSA)




Corporate Governance Rating:

**9.63**



## RATING REVISION



Enerjisa Enerji A.Ş.'nin ("ENJSA") previous Corporate Governance Rating of 9.55 dated December 21th, 2022, is hereby revised as **9.63**. SAHA's rating methodology is based on the Capital Markets Board's (CMB) "Corporate Governance Principles".

Furthermore, SAHA publishes (annually) the World Corporate Governance Index (WCGI) which ranks countries in terms of their level of compliance with corporate governance principles as well as their germane institutions, rules, codes, and regulations together with international standards and indices which evaluate countries in a vast array of areas such as transparency, corruption, ease of doing business, etc. Enerjisa Enerji A.Ş.'nin ("ENJSA") is analyzed as a Turkish company and Turkey takes place at the top classification of the WCGI which is Group 1. Details of the World Corporate Governance Index (WCGI) published by SAHA on July 12, 2023 can be accessed at <http://www.saharating.com>

Reason for the rise in the key rating:

- Electronic general shareholders' meeting was broadcast in English for foreign investors.
- Electronic meetings of the board of directors are held through a licensed program.
- Any damage to the Company caused by board members due to their potential negligence during the execution of their duties is insured for an amount exceeding 25% of the Company's capital and disclosed on PDP.
- The Investor Relations Department manager is appointed as a member of the Corporate Governance Committee.
- The Corporate Governance Committee (Remuneration Committee) determines and supervises the principles, criteria and practices to be used in the remuneration of the members of the Board of Directors and executives with administrative responsibilities, taking into account the Company's long-term goals.
- The Corporate Governance Committee (Remuneration Committee) submits its recommendations to the Board of Directors regarding the remuneration to be paid to the members of the Board of Directors and executives with administrative responsibilities, taking into account the degree of achievement of the criteria used in remuneration.
- The Corporate Governance Committee (Nomination Committee) works to establish a transparent system for the identification, evaluation and training of suitable candidates for the Board of Directors and managerial positions with administrative responsibilities and to determine policies and strategies in this regard.
- The Corporate Governance Committee (Nomination Committee) regularly evaluates the structure and efficiency of the Board of Directors and submits its recommendations to the Board of Directors regarding possible changes in these matters. Accordingly, the Committee worked on year-end self-assessments.
- The Corporate Governance Committee (Nomination Committee) evaluates the nominations for independent membership, including those of the management and shareholders, by taking into account whether the candidate meets the independence criteria or not, and submits its evaluation to the Board of Directors for approval by preparing a report.

The sub-section ratings are confirmed as follows:

<b><i>Sub Sections</i></b>	<b><i>Weight</i></b>	<b><i>Rating</i></b>
<b>Shareholders</b>	<b>25%</b>	<b>95.16</b>
<b>Public Disclosure and Transparency</b>	<b>25%</b>	<b>98.79</b>
<b>Stakeholders</b>	<b>15%</b>	<b>99.51</b>
<b>Board of Directors</b>	<b>35%</b>	<b>94.02</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>96.32</b>

## Rating Methodology

SAHA's methodology for rating the degree of compliance with the Principles of Corporate Governance is based upon the CMB's Corporate Governance Principles published on January 2014.

The CMB based these principles on the leading work of the Global Corporate Governance Forum (GCGF), which has been established in cooperation with the World Bank and the Organization of Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD). After having incorporated the views and opinions of experts and representatives from the CMB, the Istanbul Stock Exchange, the Turkish Corporate Governance Forum, academicians, private sector representatives as well as various professional organizations and NGOs, the Principles were adopted to reflect the national characteristics and conditions.

Within the Principles, "comply or explain" approach is valid. Some of these Principles are mere recommendations and their implementation thereof is optional. However, the explanation concerning the implementation status of the Principles, if not detailed reasoning thereof, conflicts arising from inadequate implementation of these Principles, and explanation on whether there is a plan for change in the company's governance practices in the future should all be included in the annual report and disclosed to public.

The Principles consist of four main sections: shareholders, public disclosure and transparency, stakeholders and board of directors.

On the foundation of these Principles, SAHA Corporate Governance Rating methodology features over 330 code criteria. During the rating process, each criterion is evaluated on the basis of information provided by the company officials and disclosed publicly. Some of these criteria can be evaluated by a simple YES/NO answer; others require more detailed analysis and examination.

SAHA assigns ratings between 1 (weakest) and 10 (strongest). In order to obtain the maximum rating of 10, a company should be in full and perfect compliance with the Principles (see Rating Definitions).

In compliance with the CMB's directive and to reach an overall Corporate Governance Rating, SAHA allocates the following weights to the four main sections of the Principles:

Shareholders: **25%**  
Disclosure and Transparency: **25%**  
Stakeholders: **15%**  
Board of Directors: **35%**

To determine the final overall rating, SAHA utilizes its proprietary methodology which consists of sub-section weightings and weightings for the criteria there under. A separate rating is assigned to each one of the main sections as well.

## Rating Definitions

Rating	Definition
<b>9 - 10</b>	The company performs <b>very good</b> in terms of Capital Markets Board's corporate governance principles. It has, to varying degrees, identified and actively managed all significant corporate governance risks through comprehensive internal controls and management systems. The company's performance is considered to represent best practice, and it had almost no deficiencies in any of the areas rated. Deserved to be included in the BIST Corporate Governance Index on the highest level.
<b>7 - 8</b>	The company performs <b>good</b> in terms of Capital Markets Board's corporate governance principles. It has, to varying degrees, identified all its material corporate governance risks and is actively managing the majority of them through internal controls and management systems. During the rating process, minor deficiencies were found in one or two of the areas rated. Deserved to be included in the BIST Corporate Governance Index.
<b>6</b>	The company performs <b>fair</b> in terms of Capital Markets Board's corporate governance principles. It has, to varying degrees, identified the majority of its material corporate governance risks and is beginning to actively manage them. Management accountability is considered in accordance with national standards but may be lagging behind international best practice. During the ratings process, minor deficiencies were identified in more than two of the areas rated.
<b>4 - 5</b>	The company performs <b>weakly</b> as a result of poor corporate governance policies and practices. The company has, to varying degrees, identified its minimum obligations but does not demonstrate an effective, integrated system of controls for managing related risks. Assurance mechanisms are weak. The rating has identified significant deficiencies in a number (but not the majority) of areas rated.
<b>&lt;4</b>	The company performs <b>very weakly</b> and its corporate governance policies and practices are overall very poor. The company shows limited awareness of corporate governance risks, and internal controls are almost non-existent. Significant deficiencies are apparent in the majority of areas rated and have led to significant material loss and investor concern.

#### DISCLAIMER

This Corporate Governance Rating Report has been prepared by Saha Kurumsal Yönetim ve Kredi Derecelendirme A.Ş. (SAHA Corporate Governance and Credit Rating Services, Inc.) based on information made available by Enerjisa Enerji A.Ş. and according to the Corporate Governance Principles by the Turkish Capital Markets Board.

This report, conducted by SAHA A.Ş. analysts and based on their best intentions, knowledge base and experience, is the product of an in depth study of the available information which is believed to be correct as of this date. It is a final opinion about the degree of sensitivity of a company to its shareholders' and stakeholders' rights, its commitment to public disclosure and transparency, and conduct and credibility of its board of directors.

The contents of this report and the final corporate governance rating should be interpreted neither as an offer, solicitation or advice to buy, sell or hold securities of any companies referred to in this report nor as a judgment about the suitability of that security to the conditions and preferences of investors. SAHA A.Ş. makes no warranty, regarding the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of this information and assumes no liability with respect to the consequences of relying on this information for investment or other purposes.

SAHA A.Ş. has embraced and published on its web site ([www.saharating.com](http://www.saharating.com)) the IOSCO (International Organization of Securities Commissions) Code of Conduct for Credit Rating Agencies and operates on the basis of independence, objectivity, transparency, and analytic accuracy.

© 2023, Saha Kurumsal Yönetim ve Kredi Derecelendirme A.Ş. All rights reserved. This publication or parts thereof may not be republished, broadcast, or redistributed without the prior written consent of Saha Kurumsal Yönetim ve Kredi Derecelendirme A.Ş. and Enerjisa Enerji A.Ş.

#### Contact:

Ali Perşembe  
[apersembe@saharating.com](mailto:apersembe@saharating.com)  
Nareg Toros  
[ntoros@saharating.com](mailto:ntoros@saharating.com)



#### **Saha Kurumsal Yönetim ve Kredi Derecelendirme A.Ş**

Valikonağı Cad., Hacı Mansur Sok., Konak Apt. 3/1, Nişantaşı, İstanbul

Telefon: (0212) 291 97 91, Faks: (0212) 291 97 92

• [info@saharating.com](mailto:info@saharating.com) • [www.saharating.com](http://www.saharating.com)